	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/719,432	JAMAS ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614	

All Participants:

(1) _Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid_.

(2) _Ms. Torpey_.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _2 November 2005_   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
_n/a_

Claims discussed:
claims 1-4

Prior art documents discussed:
AN 10/094,686 (allowed claim 4)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was notified that during a final update search, the Examiner discovered AN10/094,686. The Examiner along with the Examiner's supervisor concluded that an obviousness-type double patenting issue exists between the pending claims and allowed claim 4 of AN 10/094,686. Applicant's representative preferred to defer from submitting a terminal disclaimer and asked that the double patenting rejection be made of record in a non-final rejection. Finality of the office action mailed April 7, 2005 is withdrawn, and prosecution on the merits is reopened.